arXiv:1412.0388v1 [math.NT] 1 Dec 2014

ON A QUARTIC EQUATION AND TWO FAMILIES OF HYPERQUADRATIC CONTINUED FRACTIONS IN POWER SERIES FIELDS

by

Kh. Ayadi and A. Lasjaunias

1. Introduction

Throughout this note p is an odd prime number and $\mathbb{F}_p$ is the finite field with p elements. We consider an indeterminate T and $\mathbb{F}_p((T^{-1}))$, the field of power series in $1/T$ over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$, here simply denoted by $\mathbb{F}(p)$. A non-zero element of $\mathbb{F}(p)$ is

$$\alpha = \sum_{i \leq i_0} u_i T^i \quad \text{where} \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}, u_i \in \mathbb{F}_p \quad \text{and} \quad u_{i_0} \neq 0.$$

An ultrametric absolute value is defined over this field by $\mid \alpha \mid = \mid T \mid^{i_0}$ where $\mid T \mid$ is a fixed real number greater than 1. We will also consider the subset $\mathbb{F}(p)^+ = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}(p) \quad s.t. \mid \alpha \mid > 1\}$. Note that $\mathbb{F}(p)$ is the completion of the field $\mathbb{F}_p(T)$ for this absolute valute. The fields $\mathbb{F}(p)$ are analogues of the field of real numbers, consequently many questions in number theory in the context of real numbers, such as Diophantine approximation and continued fractions, can be transposed in the frame of formal power series which is considered here. We are concerned with continued fractions for elements of this field $\mathbb{F}(p)$ which are algebraic over the field $\mathbb{F}_p(T)$.

The starting point of our work is a particular quartic equation, with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_p[T]$, where p is an arbitrary prime greater than 3. This algebraic equation is the following:

$$(9/32)X^4 - TX^3 + X^2 - 8/27 = 0. \qquad (Eq)$$

The origin of this equation is due to Mills and Robbins [MR]. Mills and Robbins actually considered another equation: $(Eq_1) \quad X^4 + X^2 - TX + 1 = 0$. The very simple form of this last equation explains why it was considered by chance, while searching for promising algebraic continued fraction expansions. Using a computer, Mills and Robbins observed that (Eq_1) has a root in $\mathbb{F}(13)$ presenting a remarkable continued fraction expansion. This continued fraction expansion could only be partially conjectured in [MR] and only later, in a complicated form, fully conjectured in [BR]. Finally, the conjecture concerning the continued fraction of the solution of (Eq_1) in $\mathbb{F}(13)$ was proved in [L3]. In [L5], it has been remarked that Mills and Robbins equation should be considered in all chracteristic $p > 3$, by reading

$X^4 + X^2 - TX - 1/12 = 0$. After a transformation, this led to the above equation (Eq) (see [L5, p. 30]).

For each prime $p > 3$, (Eq) has a unique root in $\mathbb{F}(p)^+$, denoted by $\alpha(p)$. This root can be expanded as an infinite continued fraction. The continued fraction for $\alpha(p)$ varies according to the value of p but, for all p, it appears to have a singular pattern. Moreover, observations by computer show that there are two different general patterns, according to the case considered: $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$ or $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$. The first case, $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$ (and particularly $p = 13$), has been extensively studied by the second author and this study has generated different works in the area of continued fractions in power series fields ([L2], [L3], [L4] and [L5]). To show the differences and the similarities between both cases, we will recall several results already known for the first case. It will appear that the continued fraction expansion for the solution of (Eq) belongs to two large families of expansion, according to the remainder of p modulo 3. Hence, the great interest of our equation will be to give us the opportunity to introduce and to describe these families.

In order to illustrate our subject and to provoke the curiosity of the reader, we show, at the end of this introduction, what could be seen on a computer screen when considering the first few hundreds of partial quotients of the solution $\alpha(p)$ of (Eq), for the first two values of p. Note that the partial quotients, which are quickly very large, are only represented there by their degree in the indeterminate T. See Figure 1 and Figure 2.

If the solution of (Eq) has a peculiar continued fraction expansion, for each $p > 3$, this is due to the fact that this element is hyperquadratic. Let $t \geq 0$ be an integer and $r = p^t$, an irrational element of $\mathbb{F}(p)$ will be called hyperquadratic of order t if it satisfies a non-trivial algebraic equation of the following form

$$uX^{r+1} + vX^r + wX + z = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad (u, v, w, z) \in (\mathbb{F}_p[T])^4.$$

Note that a hyperquadratic element of order 0 is simply irrational quadratic. We shall see that the solution of (Eq) is hyperquadratic of order 1 if $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$ and hyperquadratic of order 2 if $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$. The reader may consult the introduction of [BL] for more precisions and references on hyperquadratic elements. Hyperquadratic power series in $\mathbb{F}(p)$ have long been considered by mathematicians studying Diophantine approximation in function fields of positive characteristic, such as Mahler [M], Osgood [O], Voloch [V] and de Mathan [dM]. Simultaneously, other mathematicians, such as Baum and Sweet [BS] or Mills and Robbins [MR], have observed that the

continued fraction expansion of certain hyperquadratic elements could be explicitly given. For a survey on the different contributions of these mathematicians in this area, the reader is refered to [L1]. For a good account on continued fractions and Diophantine approximation in power series fields, aswell as more references, see Schmidt's article [Sc].

We shall now describe briefly the continued fraction for the solution of (Eq) in $\mathbb{F}(p)^+$. This root is expanded as an infinite continued fraction $\alpha(p) = [a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, \ldots]$, where the partial quotients a_i are non-constant polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_p[T]$. From the equation, one can obtain the begining of the power series expansion and we have $\alpha(p) = (32/9)T - 1/T + \ldots$, which implies that $a_1 = (32/9)T$. To describe the sequence $(a_n)_{n\geq 1}$, in both cases, we need to introduce a particular polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[T]$ and two sequences of polynomials related to it.

Throughout this note p is a prime with $p \geq 3$ (except when we consider (Eq), where $p > 3$) and k an integer with $1 \leq k < p/2$. Then we define $P_k(T) = (T^2 - 1)^k \in \mathbb{F}_p[T]$. From P_k, we introduce in $\mathbb{F}_p[T]$ two sequences of polynomials $(A_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and $(B_n)_{n\geq 0}$ as follows. The first one is defined by

$$A_0 = T \quad \text{and recursively} \quad A_{n+1} = [A_n^p/P_k] \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 0.$$

Here the brackets denote the integral (i.e. polynomial) part of the rational function. While the second one is defined by

$$B_0 = A_0 = T \quad \text{and} \quad B_1 = A_1 = [T^p/P_k]$$

and recursively

$$B_{n+1} = B_n^p P_k^{(-1)^{n+1}} \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 1.$$

We are particularly interested in the degrees of these polynomials. We set $u_n = \deg(A_n)$ and $v_n = \deg(B_n)$. From the recursive definition of these polynomials, we get $u_0 = v_0 = 1$ and also

$$u_{n+1} = pu_n - 2k \quad \text{and} \quad v_{n+1} = pv_n + 2k(-1)^{n+1} \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 0.$$

Note that the sequence $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is constant if $2k = p - 1$, then we have $A_n = T$ for $n \geq 0$. Otherwise, both sequences $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and $(v_n)_{n\geq 0}$ are strictly increasing.
Note that, for $p = 5$ and $k = 2$, we obtain : $\mathbf{v} = 1, 1, 9, 41, 209, 1041, \ldots$
Whereas, for $p = 7$ and $k = 2$, we obtain $\mathbf{u} = 1, 3, 17, 115, 801, \ldots$
(See below and also Figure 1 and Figure 2.)

In the first case, $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$, we set $k = (p-1)/3$ and we consider the sequence $(A_n)_{n\geq 1}$, introduced above. In [L5], it has been proved (with a bound on the prime number p) that there exists a sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ and a sequence $(i(n))_{n\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{N}$, such that

$$a_n = \lambda_n A_{i(n)} \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 1. \tag{I}$$

Both sequences $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(i(n))_{n\geq 1}$ have been given explicitly (see [L4]).

In the second case, $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$, we set $k = (p+1)/3$ and we consider the sequence $(B_n)_{n\geq 1}$ introduced above. Our observation, based on computer calculations giving a finite number of partial quotients, implies the following conjecture: there exists a sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ and a sequence $(i(n))_{n\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{N}$, such that

$$a_n = \lambda_n B_{i(n)} \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 1. \tag{II}$$

In the first case, the formulas giving the sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1}$ are quite sophisticated, as can be seen for instance for $p = 13$ in [BR] and [L3]. Moreover, our proof and, consequently, the method to obtain this sequence are complicated (see [L2] and [L4]). For these reasons, in the second case, we have not tried to describe the sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1}$. Moreover, still in this second case, we have decided to describe the sequence $(i(n))_{n\geq 1}$ as a consequence of a conjecture about more general continued fractions. The tools used to obtain a proof, in the first case, might well be applied in the second case, but we are aware that a different approach would be desirable. This note is complementary to [L5], and hopefully it may shed new light on this mysterious quartic equation.

We sketch here the organization of this work. To obtain the proof in the first case, it has been necessary to consider hyperquadratic continued fractions more general than the one of the root of (Eq). It happens that the same argument is true for the second case. In the next section we shall introduce these families, which we will call P_k-expansions of first kind and of second kind. In section 3, we will define and describe partially some P_k-expansions, which we call perfect. In section 4, we show that the solution of (Eq) is a perfect P_k-expansion of first kind if $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$ and of second kind if $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$. In the last section, we give a mesure of the growth of the degrees of the partial quotients (the irrationality measure of the continued fraction) for the perfect P_k-expansions in both cases. We apply it to the solution of (Eq) and we get the irrationality measure for $\alpha(p)$, equal to $8/3$ in the first case and equal to 4 in the second one.

Figure 1: C.f.e. for the solution $\alpha(5)$ of (Eq) (450 p. q.)

```
[1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 41, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 41, 9, 1, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9,
41, 209, 1041, 209, 41, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 41, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 41, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 41,
209, 41, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 1, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 41, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 41, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9,
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9, 41, 209, 41, 9, 1, 1]
```

Figure 2: C.f.e. for the solution $\alpha(7)$ of (Eq) (430 p. q.)

```
[1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 17, 1, 1, 1, 1,
3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 17, 1, 1, 1,
1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 17, 1, 1,
1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 115,
1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1,
17, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1,
1, 17, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1,
1, 1, 17, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1,
1, 1, 1, 17, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3,
1, 1, 1, 1, 115, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1,
1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 17, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1,
1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 17, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1,
1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 17, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3,
1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 17, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1,
3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 115, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1,
1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 17, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1,
1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 17, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3,
1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 17, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1]
```

2. P_k-expansions

Concerning continued fractions in this area, we use classical notation, as they can be found for instance in the second section of [LY]. Throughout the paper we are dealing with finite sequences (or words), consequently we recall the following notation on sequences in $\mathbb{F}_p[T]$. Let $W = w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$ be such a finite sequence, then we set $|W| = n$ for the length of the word W. If we have two words W_1 and W_2, then W_1, W_2 denotes the word obtained by concatenation. Moreover, if $y \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, then we define $y \cdot W$ as the following sequence

$$y \cdot W = yw_1, y^{-1}w_2, \dots, y^{(-1)^{n-1}}w_n.$$

As usual, we denote by $[W] \in \mathbb{F}_p(T)$ the finite continued fraction $w_1 + 1/(w_2 + 1/(\dots))$. In this formula the w_i, called the partial quotients, are non constant polynomials. Still, we will also use the same notation if the w_i are constant and the resulting quantity is in $\mathbb{F}_p$. However in this last case, by writting $[w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n]$ we assume that this quantity is well defined in $\mathbb{F}_p$, i.e. $w_n \neq 0, [w_{n-1}, w_n] \neq 0, \dots, [w_2, \dots, w_n] \neq 0$.
We use the notation $\langle W \rangle$ for the continuant built from W. We denote by W' (resp. W'') the word obtained from W by removing the first (resp. last) letter of W. Hence, we recall that we have $[W] = \langle W \rangle / \langle W' \rangle$. We let $W^* = w_n, w_{n-1}, \dots, w_1$, be the word W written in reverse order. We also have $[W^*] = \langle W \rangle / \langle W'' \rangle$. It is also known that $[y \cdot W] = y[W]$.

If $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}(p)$ is an infinite continued fraction, $\alpha = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots]$, we set $x_n = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$ and $y_n = \langle a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$. In this way, we have $x_n/y_n = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$, with $x_1 = a_1$, $y_1 = 1$ and by convention $x_0 = 1$, $y_0 = 0$. Recall that, if $\alpha_{n+1} = [a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots]$ is the tail of the expansion, we have $\alpha = (x_n\alpha_{n+1} + x_{n-1})/(y_n\alpha_{n+1} + y_{n-1})$, for $n \geq 1$.

As above p is an odd prime and k an integer with $1 \leq k < p/2$. Linked to the previous polynomial mentioned above: $P_k(T) = (T^2 - 1)^k$, we introduce a second polynomial Q_k in $\mathbb{F}_p[T]$. We define

$$\omega_k = (-1)^k 2k \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} (1 - 1/2i) \in \mathbb{F}_p^* \quad \text{and} \quad Q_k = \omega_k^{-1}(A_1 P_k - T^p).$$

This pair (P_k, Q_k) of polynomials was introduced in [L2]. The second polynomial can also be defined by (see [L2, p. 341])

$$Q_k(T) = \int_0^T (x^2 - 1)^{k-1} dx = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} (-1)^{k-1-i} \binom{k-1}{i} (2i+1)^{-1} T^{2i+1}.$$

Note that we also have $Q_k(1) = -\omega_k^{-1}$. We recall the following stated in [L2, p. 332].

Let $l \geq 1$ be an integer and $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l) \in (\mathbb{F}_p[T])^l$, with $\deg(a_i) > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. Let $r = p^t$ with $t > 0$ and $(P, Q) \in (\mathbb{F}_p[T])^2$ with $\deg(Q) < \deg(P) < r$. Then there exist a unique infinite continued fraction $\alpha = [a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l, \alpha_{l+1}] \in \mathbb{F}(p)^+$ satisfying $(*)$ $\quad \alpha^r = P\alpha_{l+1} + Q$. This element α is the unique root in $\mathbb{F}(p)^+$ of the following algebraic equation:

$$(**) \quad y_l X^{r+1} - x_l X^r + (Py_{l-1} - Qy_l)X - Px_{l-1} + Qx_l = 0.$$

As above, let α be defined by the l-tuple, $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l)$, and the equality $(*)$. Then we set the following definitions.

- *α is a P_k-expansion of first kind if $r = p$ and there exists $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in (\mathbb{F}_p^*)^2$ such that $(P, Q) = (\epsilon_1 P_k, \epsilon_2 Q_k)$.*
- *α is a P_k-expansion of second kind if $r = p^2$ and there exists $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in (\mathbb{F}_p^*)^2$ such that $(P, Q) = (\epsilon_1 P_k^{p-1}, \epsilon_2 Q_k^p)$.*

The importance of the pair (P_k, Q_k) is due to different properties. The first and main one is the following (see [L2, p. 341], and note that ω_k was defined differentlty there than it is here below).

Let W_1 be the finite word such that $P_k/Q_k = [W_1]$. Then we have

$$W_1 = v_1 T, \ldots, v_i T, \ldots, v_{2k} T,$$

where the numbers $v_i \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ are defined by $v_1 = 2k - 1$ and recursively, for $1 \leq i \leq 2k - 1$, by

$$v_{i+1} v_i = (2k - 2i - 1)(2k - 2i + 1)(i(2k - i))^{-1}.$$

Furthermore we have $W_1 = -\omega_k^2 \cdot W_1^*$.

This last equality implies a basic lemma which is the key tool in the study of the P_k-expansions. This lemma allows to transform a particular rational function into a continued fraction. In this way, starting from $(*)$, the partial quotients in a P_k-expansion of first kind have been obtained explicitly. This lemma is the following (see the origin in [L2, p. 343]).

Lemma 1. *Let $A \in \mathbb{F}_p[T]$, $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ and $X \in \mathbb{F}(p)$. Then we have*

$$A + \delta Q_k P_k^{-1} + X = [A, \delta^{-1} \cdot W_1, X'],$$

where

$$X' = X^{-1}P_k^{-2} + \omega_k^2\delta^{-1}Q_kP_k^{-1}.$$

We use this lemma to establish the continued fraction for the rational P_k^{p-1}/Q_k^p. This continued fraction will be fundamental to study P_k-expansions of second kind. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 2. *Let W_2 be the finite word such that $P_k^{p-1}/Q_k^p = [W_2]$. Then W_2 is obtained from W_1 in the following way:*

$$W_2 = v_1A_1, w_1 \cdot W_1, v_2A_1, w_2 \cdot W_1, \ldots, v_{2k-1}A_1, w_{2k-1} \cdot W_1, v_{2k}A_1,$$

where the numbers $w_i \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ are defined by

$$w_i^{-1} = -\omega_k[v_i, v_{i-1}, \ldots, v_1] \quad \textit{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2k-1.$$

Proof: We have $P_kQ_k^{-1} = [v_1T, \ldots, v_{2k}T]$. Since $P_k(1) = 0$ and $Q_k(1) \neq 0$, we obtain $[v_1, \ldots, v_{2k}] = 0$ and $[v_i, \ldots, v_1] \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2k-1$. We set $\alpha = [W_1]$ and $\beta = [W_2]$. We have

$$\beta = (P_k/Q_k)^pP_k^{-1} = \alpha^pP_k^{-1} = [v_1T^p, \ldots, v_{2k}T^p]P_k^{-1} = [v_1T^pP_k^{-1}, P_k\alpha_2^p].$$

Since we have $T^p = A_1P_k - \omega_kQ_k$, the last equality becomes

$$\beta = v_1A_1 - \omega_kv_1Q_kP_k^{-1} + P_k^{-1}\alpha_2^{-p}.$$

Applying Lemma 1, with $\delta = -\omega_kv_1$ and $X = P_k^{-1}\alpha_2^{-p}$, we obtain

$$\beta = [v_1A_1, w_1 \cdot W_1, X'],$$

where

$$X' = P_k^{-1}\alpha_2^p + \omega_k^2w_1Q_kP_k^{-1}.$$

Since $|\alpha_2| = |T|$, we have $|X'| > 1$. Consequently, we get

$$b_1, \ldots, b_{2k+1} = v_1A_1, w_1 \cdot W_1 \quad \text{and} \quad X' = \beta_{2k+2}.$$

Then we have

$$\beta_{2k+2} = [v_2T^pP_k^{-1}, P_k\alpha_3^p] + \omega_k^2w_1Q_kP_k^{-1}.$$

Using $T^p = A_1P_k - \omega_kQ_k$ and applying the same lemma, with $\delta = -\omega_kv_2 + \omega_k^2w_1 = w_2^{-1}$ and $X = P_k^{-1}\alpha_3^{-p}$, we get

$$\beta_{2k+2} = [v_2A_1, w_2 \cdot W_1, X'],$$

where

$$X' = P_k^{-1}\alpha_3^p + \omega_k^2 w_2 Q_k P_k^{-1}.$$

As above, we get the desired partial quotients, from the rank $2k+2$ to the rank $4k+2$, and also $X' = \beta_{4k+3}$. The process carries on until we get

$$\beta_{4k^2-2k-1} = [v_{2k-1}A_1, w_{2k-1} \cdot W_1, X'],$$

where

$$\beta_{4k^2} = X' = P_k^{-1}\alpha_{2k}^p + \omega_k^2 w_{2k-1} Q_k P_k^{-1} = v_{2k}T^p P_k^{-1} + \omega_k^2 w_{2k-1} Q_k P_k^{-1}.$$

Again, using $T^p = A_1 P_k - \omega_k Q_k$, this becomes

$$\beta_{4k^2} = v_{2k}A_1 + \omega_k Q_k P_k^{-1}(\omega_k w_{2k-1} - v_{2k}).$$

But we have

$$\omega_k w_{2k-1} - v_{2k} = -[v_{2k-1}, \ldots, v_1]^{-1} - v_{2k} = -[v_{2k}, v_{2k-1}, \ldots, v_1] = 0.$$

Hence $\beta_{4k^2} = v_{2k}A_1$ and the proof of the proposition is complete.

We make a last remark on the continued fraction for P_k^{p-1}/Q_k^p. As for W_1, it can be seen that we also have $W_2 = -\omega_k^2 \cdot W_2^*$. It follows from this equality that the very same lemma as Lemma 1, holds in the second case when the pair (P_k, Q_k) is replaced by the pair (P_k^{p-1}, Q_k^p) and W_1 is replaced by W_2. In this way, P_k-expansions of second kind could possibly be studied following the same path as in the first case ([L2] and [L4]).

3. Perfect P_k-expansions

If p and k are fixed, we recall that a P_k-expansion is defined by the $(l+2)$-tuple $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in (\mathbb{F}_p[T])^l \times (\mathbb{F}_p^*)^2$. Once such a $(l+2)$-tuple is fixed, from the algebraic equation $(**)$, a computer can give the first partial quotients of the expansion, then it appears that some expansions are more "regular" than others. To be more precise, we use the following terminology. If $P \in \mathbb{F}_p[T]$, we say that P is of type A (resp. of type B) if there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P = \lambda A_n$ (resp. $P = \lambda B_n$), where the polynomials A_n (or B_n) belong to the sequences defined in the introduction. Then we say that a P_k-expansion of first kind (resp. of second kind) is perfect if every partial quotient is of type A (resp. of type B). It appears that a sufficient condition on the $(l+2)$-tuple $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ can be given, in order to have a perfect P_k-expansion and then a description

of the corresponding sequence of partial quotients. This is what is discussed in this section, distinguishing each of both cases.

We will use the following notation. For $n \geq 0$, if we have the word $w, w, \ldots, w$ of length n, then we denote it shorly by $w^{[n]}$ with $w^{[0]} = \emptyset$. In the same way $W^{[n]}$ denotes the world $W, W, \ldots, W$ where W is repeated n times and $W^{[0]} = \emptyset$. If we have a finite sequence $W = w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$ of polynomials of type A (or of type B), we can associate it to a finite sequence $I = i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n$ of positive integers, such that $w_m = \lambda_m A_{i_m}$ (or $w_m = \lambda_m B_{i_m}$), with $\lambda_m \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ for $1 \leq m \leq n$. Let I_1 (resp. I_2) denote the sequence of integers attached to the word W_1 (resp. W_2) introduced in the previous section. Then we have

$$I_1 = 0^{[2k]} \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = 1, (0^{[2k]}, 1)^{[2k-1]}.$$

Our aim is to describe the infinite sequence of integers associated to the infinite sequence of partial quotients for a perfect P_k-expansion. In each case, the sequences I_1 or I_2 are the stones from which this sequence is built.

A) Perfect P_k-expansions of first kind

Let us consider a P_k-expansion of first kind. We have the following statement.

Assuming that the $(l+2)$-tuple $(a_1, \ldots, a_l, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ satisfies an hypothesis $\mathcal{H}(1)$, then there exist a sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ and a sequence $(i(n))_{n\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{N}$, such that

$$a_n = \lambda_n A_{i(n)} \quad \textit{for} \quad n \geq 1. \tag{1}$$

Note that the hypothesis $\mathcal{H}(1)$ will only be a sufficient condition to have (1). This first case has been studied in previous works, and also in a more general setting (see [L2] and [L4]). A first task is to choose the first l partial quotients $(a_1, \ldots, a_l)$. Note that they must be of type A. In the case of the solution of (Eq), for $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$, the first partial quotients are linear, hence we shall here consider them proportional to $A_0 = T$. However, note that a more general situation could have been considered, as this was remarked in [L5]. Moreover, the extremal case $k = (p-1)/2$ conduces to perfect expansions having all partial quotients proportional to T. In a joint work with J.-Y. Yao, the second author, following a similar method, could obtain P_k-expansions of first kind having all partial quotients of degree 1, starting from l partial quotients of degree 1, not necessarily proportional to T.

Let us now describe our hypothesis $\mathcal{H}(1)$ concerning the $(l+2)$-tuple $(a_1, \ldots, a_l, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$.

We assume that there exists a $(l+2)$-tuple $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ in $(\mathbb{F}_p^*)^{l+2}$ such that we have firstly $(a_1, \ldots, a_l,) = (\lambda_1 T, \ldots, \lambda_l T)$ and secondly

$$[\lambda_l, \lambda_{l-1}, \ldots, \lambda_1 + \omega_k^{-1}\epsilon_2] = 2k\epsilon_1\epsilon_2^{-1}.$$

Note, as indicated above, that the existence of the square bracket in this last formula implies a special choice of the $(l+2)$-tuple. Indeed, one can check that there are exactly $(p-1)(p-2)^l$ such $(l+2)$-tuples in $(\mathbb{F}_p^*)^{l+2}$. In [L4, p. 256], in a larger context, it has been proved that the hypothesis $\mathcal{H}(1)$ implies that the P_k-expansion, defined by the corresponding $(l+2)$-tuple, is perfect, i.e. (1) is satisfied. Both sequences $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(i(n))_{n\geq 1}$ have been described there. We do not give here indications on the sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1}$ which is obtained by sophisticated recursive formulas from the initial l-tuple $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l)$ and the pair (ϵ_1, ϵ_2). Concerning the other sequence $(i(n))_{n\geq 1}$, we will describe it here simply with the above notation. Let $(V_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be the sequence of finite words of integers defined recursively by

$$V_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad V_n = n, V_0^{[2k]}, V_1^{[2k]}, \ldots, V_{n-1}^{[2k]}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \tag{2}$$

Then the sequence $(i(n))_{n\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{N}$ is given by the infinite word:

$$V_0^{[l]}, V_1^{[l]}, V_2^{[l]}, \ldots, V_n^{[l]}, \ldots \tag{3}$$

B) Perfect P_k-expansions of second kind

Let us consider a P_k-expansion of second kind. We have the following conjecture.

Assuming that the $(l+2)$-tuple $(a_1, \ldots, a_l, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ satisfies an hypothesis $\mathcal{H}(2)$, then there exist a sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ and a sequence $(i(n))_{n\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{N}$, such that

$$a_n = \lambda_n B_{i(n)} \quad \textit{for} \quad n \geq 1. \tag{4}$$

Before describing the hypothesis $\mathcal{H}(2)$, we make a comment on the origin of this conjecture. We started from the solution of (Eq) for $p = 5, 11$ or 17 and we obtained with a computer several thousands of partial quotients. This was not enough to guess the general pattern of this sequence of partial quotients. Inspired by the first case, we expected these particular expansions to belong to a much larger family. For small values of p, we knew that these expansions were generated in the way described above, i.e. were P_k-expansions of second kind (see [L5, p. 33]). By observing the first l partial quotients and the pair (ϵ_1, ϵ_2) in these three cases, we could guess the right

form of the $(l+2)$-tuple $(a_1, \ldots, a_l, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ in order to have a perfect expansion. It was only then, by considering a general $(l+2)$-tuple and letting the parameters p, k and l vary, that firstly we could state the above conjecture and secondly we could describe the pattern for these continued fractions.

Let us now describe our hypothesis $\mathcal{H}(2)$ concerning the $(l+2)$-tuple $(a_1, \ldots, a_l, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$.
Let $m \geq 1$ and m integers $n_1, n_2 \ldots, n_m$ be such that

$$1 < n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_m \quad \textit{with} \quad n_{i+1} - n_i \geq 3 \quad \textit{for} \quad 1 \leq i < m.$$

We set $l = n_m$ and we consider a l-tuple $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l) \in (\mathbb{F}_p^*)^l$ such that

$$[\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n_1-1}] \neq 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad [\lambda_{n_i+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n_{i+1}-1}] = 0 \quad \textit{for} \quad 1 \leq i < m.$$

Then we assume that, for $1 \leq n \leq l$ and $i = 1 \ldots m$, we have

$$n \neq n_i \Rightarrow a_n = \lambda_n B_0 \quad \textit{and} \quad n = n_i \Rightarrow a_n = \lambda_n B_1$$

and also

$$\epsilon_2 = -\omega_k[\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n_1-1}].$$

Note that the m-tuple $(\lambda_{n_1}, \lambda_{n_2} \ldots, \lambda_{n_m}) \in (\mathbb{F}_p^*)^m$ as well as $\epsilon_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ are chosen arbitrarily. Recall that, according to the remark made above, the existence of the square brackets in $\mathbb{F}_p$ implies restrictions on the choice of the remaining λ_i.

Now, we can describe conjecturally the sequence $(i(n))_{n\geq 1}$ under the hypothesis $\mathcal{H}(2)$. We define

$$l_1 = n_1 - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad l_{i+1} = n_{i+1} - n_i - 1 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i < m.$$

Let V_0 be the sequence of integers attached to the first l partial quotients. According to the description made above, we can write

$$V_0 = 0^{[l_1]}, 1, 0^{[l_2]}, 1, \ldots, 1, 0^{[l_m]}, 1. \tag{5}$$

We introduce the following sequence $(J_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of words, defined recursively by $J_1 = 0^{[2k]}, 1$ and

$$J_{n+1} = (2n, 2n-1, J_n^{[2k-1]})^{[2k-1]}, 2n, 2n+1 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \tag{6}$$

Next, for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $n \geq 1$, we define

$$V_{n,i} = (2n, 2n-1, J_n^{[2k-1]})^{[l_i-1]}, 2n, 2n+1. \tag{7}$$

Then the sequence $(i(n))_{n\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{N}$ is given by the infinite word:

$$V_0, V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_n, \ldots \quad \text{where} \quad V_n = V_{n,1}, V_{n,2}, \ldots, V_{n,m} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \quad (8)$$

This conjecture on P_k-expansions of second kind gives each partial quotient up to a multiplicative constant in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$. Note that this gives the degree of each partial quotient. We will see in the last section that the conjecture is conforted by considerations on the growth of this sequence of degrees. Finally, let us repeat that we have not tried to describe, even conjecturally, the sequence of constants in (4), which depends on the $(l+1)$-tuple $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l, \epsilon_1)$.

4. Link between P_k-expansions and the solution of (Eq)

Let $p > 3$ be a prime number. We set $k = (p-1)/3$ if $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$, and otherwise $k = (p+1)/3$. We set $(r, P, Q) = (p, P_k, Q_k)$ if $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$, and otherwise $(r, P, Q) = (p^2, P_k^{p-1}, Q_k^p)$. We set $l = (p-1)/2$ if $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$, and otherwise $l = (p+1)^2/3$. We set $\mathbf{\Lambda}(p) = (a_1, \ldots, a_l, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_p[T]$, with $\deg(a_i) > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$, and $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in (\mathbb{F}_p^*)^2$. Then we define in $\mathbb{F}_p[T][X]$ the following polynomial:

$$H(\mathbf{\Lambda}(p); X) = y_l X^{r+1} - x_l X^r + (\epsilon_1 P y_{l-1} - \epsilon_2 Q y_l) X - \epsilon_1 P x_{l-1} + \epsilon_2 Q x_l.$$

Recall that x_l, y_l, x_{l-1} and y_{l-1} are the continuants built from the l-tuple $(a_1, \ldots, a_l)$, as stated at the beginning of Section 2. Let $A \in \mathbb{F}_p[T][X]$ be the polynomial defined by $A(X) = (9/32)X^4 - TX^3 + X^2 - 8/27$.

Our goal is to show that there exists a particular $(l+2)$-tuple, denoted by $\mathbf{\Lambda_0}(p)$, such that $A(X)$ divides $H(\mathbf{\Lambda_0}(p); X)$ in the ring of polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_p[T]$. The solution $\alpha(p)$ of (Eq) satisfies $A(\alpha(p)) = 0$, consequently we will have $H(\mathbf{\Lambda_0}(p); \alpha(p)) = 0$, so that $\alpha(p)$ will be a P_k-expansion of first kind if $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$ and of second kind if $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$, according to what is stated in Section 2. Moreover, this particular $\mathbf{\Lambda_0}(p)$ will be chosen such that $\mathcal{H}(1)$ is satisfied if $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$, and otherwise $\mathcal{H}(2)$ is satisfied. In the first case, $\mathcal{H}(1)$ being satisfied, this will prove that $\alpha(p)$ is a perfect P_k-expansion of first kind, implying (I). In the second case, $\mathcal{H}(2)$ being satisfied, this will imply the conjecture stating that $\alpha(p)$ is a perfect P_k-expansion of second kind, and consequently satisfies (II). However this argument is based on the division of $H(\mathbf{\Lambda_0}(p); .)$ by A and this division is established by straightforward computations with the help of a computer. It follows that in both cases (proof or conjecture) the result holds with a bound on the prime number p, eventhough there is no reason to doubt that the same is true for all primes p.

Now we shall describe the $(l+2)$-tuple $\mathbf{\Lambda_0}(p)$, in order to obtain the divisibility property mentioned above. We set $\mathbf{\Lambda_0}(p) = (B(p), \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$. We shall first describe the word $B(p) = a_1, \ldots, a_l$. By observations on the continued fraction expansion of the solution of (Eq), for small values of p, we could guess the form of $B(p)$. Let us consider the words W_1 and W_2 defined in Section 2. For $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$ and $k = (p-1)/3$, we let $\widehat{W_1}$ be the word formed by the last letters of W_1, as follows:

$$\widehat{W_1} = v_{k/2+1}T, v_{k/2+2}T, \ldots, v_{2k}T \quad \text{with} \quad |\widehat{W_1}| = 3k/2 = (p-1)/2.$$

In the same way, for $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$ and $k = (p+1)/3$, according to Proposition 2, we have $W_2 = b_1, \ldots, b_{4k^2}$. Then we let $\widehat{W_2}$ be defined by

$$\widehat{W_2} = b_{k^2+1}, b_{k^2+2}, \ldots, b_{4k^2} \quad \text{with} \quad |\widehat{W_2}| = 3k^2 = (p+1)^2/3.$$

Note that $|B(p)| = l$, and consequently, in each of the corresponding case, we have $|B(p)| = |\hat{W}_1|$ or $|B(p)| = |\hat{W}_2|$. We noted that, for small values of p, in each of both cases, the word $B(p)$ is equal to $\widehat{W_1}$ or $\widehat{W_2}$ up to a small correction. Consequently, for all p, with ϵ in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$, we define $B(p)$ by

$$B(p) = \epsilon \cdot \widehat{W_1} \quad \text{if} \quad p \equiv 1 \mod 3 \tag{9}$$

and

$$B(p) = \epsilon \cdot \widehat{W_2} \quad \text{if} \quad p \equiv 2 \mod 3. \tag{10}$$

Recall that, for all p, the solution $\alpha(p)$ of (Eq) is such that $a_1 = (32/9)T$. By identification from (9) and (10), in each case $p \equiv 1$ or $2 \mod 3$, we see that ϵ must satisfy

$$\epsilon v_{k/2+1}T = (32/9)T \quad \text{or} \quad \epsilon b_{k^2+1} = (32/9)T.$$

From Proposition 2, one can check that we have $b_{k^2+1} = w_{k/2}^{(-1)^{k/2}} v_{3k/2+1}T$. Consequently, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ will be defined by

$$\epsilon = 32/(9v_{k/2+1}) \quad \text{if} \quad p \equiv 1 \mod 3 \tag{11}$$

and

$$\epsilon = 32/(9w_{k/2}^{(-1)^{k/2}} v_{3k/2+1}) \quad \text{if} \quad p \equiv 2 \mod 3. \tag{12}$$

To fully determine $\mathbf{\Lambda_0}(p)$, we must now describe the pair (ϵ_1, ϵ_2) in $(\mathbb{F}_p^*)^2$. This will be achieved in two steps. First, we introduce an argument based on experimental observation.

We set $U = \epsilon_1 P y_{l-1} - \epsilon_2 Q y_l$ and $V = \epsilon_2 Q x_l - \epsilon_1 P x_{l-1}$. Consequently the polynomial H considered above is $H = y_l X^{r+1} - x_l X^r + UX + V$. We have observed, for the first values of p (in both cases), that the polynomials U and V have a low degree in the indeterminate T. This will imply a useful relation between ϵ_1 and ϵ_2. Let $a \in \mathbb{F}_p[T]$ be defined by $a = T$ if $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$ and $a = A_1$ if $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$. From the continued fraction expansion of P/Q, for $p \equiv 1$ or $2 \mod 3$, we get

$$P = v_1 a Q + R \quad \text{where} \quad R \in \mathbb{F}_p[T] \quad \text{and} \quad |R| < |Q|. \tag{13}$$

From (9) and (10), and from the continued fraction expansion of P/Q, again for $p \equiv 1$ or $2 \mod 3$, we have

$$a_l = v_{2k} a \epsilon^{(-1)^{l+1}}. \tag{14}$$

We have $x_l = a_l x_{l-1} + x_{l-2}$ and $y_l = a_l y_{l-1} + y_{l-2}$. Combining these equalities with (13) and (14), we obtain

$$U = (\epsilon_1 v_1 - \epsilon_2 v_{2k} \epsilon^{(-1)^{l+1}}) a Q y_{l-1} + \epsilon_1 R y_{l-1} - \epsilon_2 Q y_{l-2} \tag{15}$$

and

$$V = (\epsilon_2 v_{2k} \epsilon^{(-1)^{l+1}} - \epsilon_1 v_1) a Q x_{l-1} + \epsilon_2 Q x_{l-2} - \epsilon_1 R x_{l-1}. \tag{16}$$

Since $|R| < |P|$ and $|y_{l-2}| < |y_l|$, we get easily $|\epsilon_1 R y_{l-1} - \epsilon_2 Q y_{l-2}| < |U|$. In the same way we have $|\epsilon_2 Q x_{l-2} - \epsilon_1 R x_{l-1}| < |V|$. In order to have $|U|$ and $|V|$ small, according to (15) and (16), we must assume the following

$$\epsilon_2 v_{2k} \epsilon^{(-1)^{l+1}} = \epsilon_1 v_1. \tag{17}$$

Finally, since $v_1 = -\omega_k^2 v_{2k}$, , by (17) for $p \equiv 1$ or $2 \mod 3$, we assume that

$$\epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_2 \omega_k^{-2} \epsilon^{(-1)^{l+1}}. \tag{18}$$

In a last step, according to the case considered, we shall make sure thare $\mathcal{H}(1)$ or $\mathcal{H}(2)$ is satisfied.
Let us consider the first case: $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$. According to the form of $B(p)$, we have $a_i = \lambda_i T = \epsilon^{(-1)^{i+1}} v_{k/2+i} T$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. To have $\mathcal{H}(1)$ satisfied, we need have

$$[\lambda_l, \lambda_{l-1}, \ldots, \lambda_1 + \omega_k^{-1} \epsilon_2] = 2k \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2^{-1}.$$

This formula can be inversed and it is equivalent to

$$[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l - 2k \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2^{-1}] = -\omega_k^{-1} \epsilon_2. \tag{19}$$

But, using (9) and recalling that $[\epsilon \cdot W] = \epsilon[W]$, we obtain

$$[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_l - 2k\epsilon_1\epsilon_2^{-1}] = \epsilon[v_{k/2+1}, \ldots, v_{2k} - 2k\epsilon_1\epsilon_2^{-1}\epsilon^{(-1)^l}]. \tag{20}$$

By (18) and $v_1 = 2k - 1 = -\omega_k^2 v_{2k}$, with $k = -1/3$ in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$, we can write

$$v_{2k} - 2k\epsilon_1\epsilon_2^{-1}\epsilon^{(-1)^l} = v_{2k} + 2k\omega_k^{-2} = -v_{2k}/(2k-1) = 3v_{2k}/5. \tag{21}$$

Combining (19), (20) and (21), we see that $\mathcal{H}(1)$ is satisfied if

$$\epsilon_2 = -\epsilon\omega_k[v_{k/2+1}, v_{k/2+2}, \ldots, v_{2k-1}, 3v_{2k}/5]. \tag{22}$$

Let us now consider the second case: $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$. We first check that $B(p)$ has the form required in $\mathcal{H}(2)$. According to Proposition 2 and the definition of $\widehat{W_2}$, we have

$$\widehat{W_2} = W_3, v_{k/2+1}B_1, w_{k/2+1} \cdot W_1, v_{k/2+2}B_1, \ldots, v_{2k-1}B_1, w_{2k-1} \cdot W_1, v_{2k}B_1,$$

where

$$W_3 = w_{k/2}^{(-1)^{k/2}} v_{3k/2+1}T, w_{k/2}^{(-1)^{k/2+1}} v_{3k/2+2}T, \ldots, w_{k/2}^{-1} v_{2k}T.$$

Since $B(p) = \epsilon \cdot \widehat{W_2}$, we see that $a_i = \lambda_i B_0$ or $a_i = \lambda_i B_1$, and $B(p)$ has the right form. More precisely, with the notation introduced in section 3, we have $m = 3k/2 = (p+1)/2$ and, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $n_i = i(2k+1) - 3k/2$. Also $|W_3| = k/2 = l_1$ and, for $1 < i \leq m$, $l_i = 2k$. Moreover the following equalities hold

$$[\lambda_1 T, \ldots, \lambda_{n_1-1}T] = [\epsilon \cdot W_3] \tag{23}$$

and, for $1 \leq i < m$,

$$[\lambda_{n_i+1}T, \ldots, \lambda_{n_{i+1}-1}T] = [\epsilon^{\pm 1} \cdot (w_{k/2+i} \cdot W_1)]. \tag{24}$$

Since $[W_1]_{T=1} = 0$, for $1 \leq i < m$, we observe that (24) implies the required condition

$$[\lambda_{n_i+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n_{i+1}-1}] = \epsilon^{\pm 1} w_{k/2+i}[W_1]_{T=1} = 0.$$

Finally, by (23), we have

$$[\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n_1-1}] = \epsilon[W_3]_{T=1} = \epsilon w_{k/2}^{(-1)^{k/2}}[v_{3k/2+1}, \ldots, v_{2k}]. \tag{25}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{H}(2)$ is fully satisfied if we fix

$$\epsilon_2 = -\epsilon\omega_k w_{k/2}^{(-1)^{k/2}}[v_{3k/2+1}, v_{3k/2+2}, \ldots, v_{2k}]. \tag{26}$$

We have completed the description of $\mathbf{\Lambda_0}(p)$ in both cases. If $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$, we apply (9), (11), (18) and (22). If $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$, we apply (10), (12), (18) and (26). From $\mathbf{\Lambda_0}(p)$, we can build the coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_p[T]$ of H and then, for a given prime p, it is a routine work to check by computer that the polynomial A divides the polynomial H in $\mathbb{F}_p[T][X]$. We have done so for the first primes in both cases.

We make a last comment on the polynomial H. In the first case, in [L5, p. 31], a different form of H has been given. The polynomials U and V were expicited using continuants involving $v_1T, v_2T, \ldots, v_{k/2}T$. As a consequence, in this case, we have $|U| = |T|^{k/2}$ and $|V| = |T|^{k/2-1}$. In the second case, the same approach is possible and this leads to $|U| = |T|^{3k^2/2-k}$ and $|V| = |T|^{3k^2/2-k-1}$.

5. Irrationality measure

Mahler [M], by an adaptation of an old and famous theorem on algebraic real numbers, due to Liouville, could prove the following theorem.
Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}(p)$ be algebraic of degree $n > 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_p(T)$. Then there exists a positive real constant C such that

$$|\alpha - P/Q| \geq C|Q|^{-n} \quad \textit{for all} \quad P/Q \in \mathbb{F}_p(T).$$

The irrationality measure of an irrational power series α is defined by

$$\nu(\alpha) = -\limsup_{|Q|\to\infty} \log(|\alpha - P/Q|)/\log(|Q|).$$

By Roth's theorem, the irrationality measure of an irrational algebraic real number is 2. For power series over a finite field there is no analogue of Roth's theorem. However, according to Mahler's theorem, we have $\nu(\alpha) \in [2, n]$ if α is algebraic of degree $n > 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_p(T)$.

The irrationality measure is directly related to the growth of the sequence of the degrees of the partial quotients a_n in the continued fraction expansion of α. Indeed we have (see [L1, p. 214])

$$\nu(\alpha) = 2 + \nu_0(\alpha) = 2 + \limsup_n (\deg(a_{n+1})/\sum_{1\leq i\leq n} \deg(a_i)). \tag{27}$$

This formula allows to compute $\nu(\alpha)$ if the continued fraction is explicitly known. Note that if α is a P_k-expansion then we have $\nu_0(\alpha) \in [0, r-1]$ and moreover if $\alpha(p)$ is the solution of (Eq) we have $\nu_0(\alpha(p)) \in [0, 2]$. We

shall compute the irrationality measure for perfect P_k-expansions and for the solution of (Eq).

We need the following notation. Let W be a finite sequence $W = w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$ of polynomials of type A (or of type B), associated as above to the finite sequence $I = i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n$ of positive integers, such that $w_m = \lambda_m A_{i_m}$ (or $w_m = \lambda_m B_{i_m}$), where $\lambda_m \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ for $1 \leq m \leq n$. We let $D(W)$ be the sum $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \deg(w_i)$ and, by extension, we define $D(I) = D(W)$.

• Perfect P_k-expansion of first kind.
Here, for $n \geq 1$, we have $a_n = \lambda_n A_{i(n)}$ and consequently $\deg(a_n) = u_{i(n)}$. From the recurrent definition of A_n, we have $u_n = (p^n(p-2k-1)+2k)/(p-1)$ for $n \geq 0$. To compute the limit in (27), we need to observe the first occurence of u_n in the sequence of the degrees of the partial quotients, then compute the sum of all the degrees appearing before this term in this sequence. According to the description of $(i(n))_{n \geq 1}$ given by (2) and (3) in Section 3, we see that n appears for the first time at the begining of V_n. Hence, by (27), we have

$$\nu_0(\alpha) = \lim_n (u_n / D(V_0^{[l]}, V_1^{[l]}, V_2^{[l]}, \ldots, V_{n-1}^{[l]})). \tag{28}$$

Let us compute $D(V_n)$. We have $D(V_0) = u_0 = 1$ and also by (2)

$$D(V_n) = D(n, V_0^{[2k]}, V_1^{[2k]}, \ldots, V_{n-1}^{[2k]}) = u_n + 2k \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} D(V_i). \tag{29}$$

By induction, from (29),x it is elementary to verify that $D(V_n) = p^n$ holds, for $n \geq 0$. Consequently (28) implies

$$\nu_0(\alpha) = \lim_n (u_n / l \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} p^i) = \lim_n (p^n(p-2k-1)+2k)/(l(p^n-1)).$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\nu_0(\alpha) = (p-2k-1)/l. \tag{30}$$

Note that $\nu_0(\alpha) = 0$ if $2k = p-1$. In this case, we have $a_n = \lambda_n T$, for $n \geq 1$. However the sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is generally not ultimately periodic and therefore α is not quadratic. See [LY], for a general study on algebraic continued fractions with partial quotients of degree 1.

• Perfect P_k-expansion of second kind.
Here, for $n \geq 1$, we have $a_n = \lambda_n B_{i(n)}$ and consequently $\deg(a_n) = v_{i(n)}$. We have $v_n = (p^n(p-2k+1) + 2k(-1)^n)/(p+1)$ for $n \geq 0$, again from the recurrent definition of B_n. The description of the sequence $(i(n))_{n \geq 1}$ is

given by the formulas (5) − (8) in Section 3. We observe that $2n$ appears for the first time at the beginning of V_n, whereas $2n+1$ apears for the first time at the end of $V_{n,1}$. As above, to use (27), we need to compute the sum of the degrees before these occurences. We set $V_{n,1} = W_{n,1}, 2n+1$ and we have $D(W_{n,1}) = D(V_{n,1}) - v_{2n+1}$. We set $r_n = D(V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_{n-1})$. We have $D(V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_{n-1}, W_{n,1}) = r_n + D(V_{n,1}) - v_{2n+1}$. Then we define

$$s_n = v_{2n}/r_n \quad \text{and} \quad t_n = v_{2n+1}/(r_n + D(V_{n,1}) - v_{2n+1}). \tag{31}$$

Hence, by (27), we have

$$\nu_0(\alpha) = \max(\lim_n s_n, \lim_n t_n). \tag{32}$$

We need to compute $D(V_{n,i})$ and $D(V_n)$. First, let us compute $D(J_n)$. We have $D(J_1) = D(0^{[2k]}, 1) = 2kv_0 + v_1 = 2k + p - 2k = p$. For $n \geq 1$, by (6), we get

$$D(J_{n+1}) = (2k-1)(v_{2n} + v_{2n-1} + (2k-1)D(J_n)) + v_{2n} + v_{2n+1}. \tag{33}$$

For $n \geq 1$, we observe that $v_n + v_{n-1} = p^{n-1}(p - 2k + 1)$. Hence (33) can be written as

$$D(J_{n+1}) = (2k-1)(p^{2n-1}(p-2k+1) + (2k-1)D(J_n)) + p^{2n}(p-2k+1).$$

By induction, it is elementary to check that this formula implies

$$D(J_n) = p^{2n-1} \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 1. \tag{34}$$

Now we use (7) to compute $D(V_{n,i})$ for $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$. We have

$$D(V_{n,i}) = (l_i - 1)(v_{2n} + v_{2n-1} + (2k-1)D(J_n)) + v_{2n} + v_{2n+1}.$$

By (34) and the formula for $v_n + v_{n-1}$, this last formula gives

$$D(V_{n,i}) = (l_i - 1)p^{2n} + p^{2n}(p - 2k + 1) = p^{2n}(p - 2k + l_i). \tag{35}$$

Consequently, by (8) and (35), by sommation, with $\sum_{i=1}^m l_i = l - m$, we get

$$D(V_n) = p^{2n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} (p - 2k + l_i) = p^{2n}(m(p-2k-1) + l). \tag{36}$$

We set $\nu_1 = m(p-2k-1) + l$. By (5), $D(V_0) = \sum_{i=1}^m l_i + m(p-2k)$ and consequently we have $D(V_0) = \nu_1$. Again by sommation, (36) implies

$$r_n = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} D(V_i) = \nu_1(p^{2n} - 1)/(p^2 - 1). \tag{37}$$

Thus we have $s_n = v_{2n}/r_n = (p-1)(p^{2n}(p-2k+1)+2k)/(\nu_1(p^{2n}-1))$, which implies

$$\lim_n s_n = (p-1)(p-2k+1)/\nu_1. \tag{38}$$

We have $1/t_n = (1/s_n)(v_{2n}/v_{2n+1}) + D(V_{n,1})/v_{2n+1} - 1$. From (35), we get

$$\lim_n (D(V_{n,1})/v_{2n+1}) = (p-2k+l_1)(p+1)/(p(p-2k+1)). \tag{39}$$

From (38), since $\lim_n (v_{2n}/v_{2n+1}) = 1/p$, we also get

$$\lim_n ((1/s_n)(v_{2n}/v_{2n+1})) = \nu_1/(p(p-1)(p-2k+1)). \tag{40}$$

Combining (39) and (40), we obtain

$$\lim_n (1/t_n) = (\nu_1 + (p-2k+l_1)(p^2-1))/(p(p-1)(p-2k+1)) - 1.$$

Finally, this implies

$$\lim_n t_n = p(p-1)(p-2k+1)/\nu_2, \tag{41}$$

where

$$\nu_2 = \nu_1 + (p-2k+l_1)(p^2-1) - p(p-1)(p-2k+1) = \nu_1 + l_1(p^2-1) - 2k(p-1).$$

Combining (32), (38) and (41), we obtain

$$\nu_0(\alpha) = (p-1)(p-2k+1)\max(1/\nu_1, p/\nu_2). \tag{42}$$

A simple calculation shows that $p-2k+1 \leq \nu_1$ and $p(p-2k+1) \leq \nu_2$. Moreover we have $\nu_1 = \nu_2/p = p-2k+1$ if and only if $m=1$ and $l=2$. Consequently, we obtain $\nu_0(\alpha) = p-1$ if $m=1$ and $l=2$ and $\nu_0(\alpha) < p-1$ otherwise. Note that $\nu_0(\alpha)$ is far from the admitted upper bound p^2-1.
The extremal case, corresponding to $m=1$ and $l=2$, is noteworthy. In this case, we have $l_1 = 1$, $V_0 = 0, 1$ and $V_n = V_{n,1} = 2n, 2n+1$, this shows that the infinite word describing the sequence $(i(n))_{n\geq 1}$ is simply $\mathbb{N}$. We have $\epsilon_2 = -\omega_k\lambda_1$, and the continued fraction is defined by the triple $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \epsilon_1)$ in $(\mathbb{F}_p^*)^3$. There exists a sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ such that $a_n = \lambda_n B_{n-1}$, for $n \geq 1$. Amazingly enough, this sequence is simply defined by $\lambda_{2n+1} = \epsilon_1^{-n}\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_{2n+2} = \epsilon_1^n \lambda_2$, for $n \geq 0$.

- Solution of (Eq) if $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$.

Here $\alpha(p)$ has been proved (with a limitation on p) to be a perfect P_k-expansion of first kind, where $k = (p-1)/3$ and $l = (p-1)/2$. Applying (30), we get $\nu(\alpha(p)) = 2 + \nu_0(\alpha(p)) = 8/3$.

• Solution of (Eq) if $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$.

According to Section 4, here we conjecture that $\alpha(p)$ is a perfect P_k-expansion of second kind, where $k = (p+1)/3$, $l = (p+1)^2/3$, $m = (p+1)/2$ and $l_1 = k/2$. A direct computation shows that $(p-1)(p-2k+1) = 2\nu_1/3$, whereas $p(p-1)(p-2k+1) = 2\nu_2$. Consequently, applying (42), we have $\nu(\alpha(p)) = 2 + \nu_0(\alpha(p)) = 4$. According to Mahler's theorem, $\alpha(p)$ being algebraic of degree 4, $\nu(\alpha(p))$ has the maximal possible theoretical value.

Acknowledgment

We thank warmly Bill Allombert for his advices in programming and his help to insert the figures in this note.

References

[BS] L. Baum and M. Sweet, *Continued fraction of algebraic power series in characteristic 2*, Annals of Mathematics **103** (1976), 593–610.

[BL] A. Bluher and A. Lasjaunias, *Hyperquadratic power series of degree four*, Acta Arithmetica **124** (2006), 257–268.

[BR] W. Buck and D. Robbins, *The continued fraction of an algebraic power series satisfying a quartic equation*, Journal of Number Theory **50** (1995), 335–344.

[L1] A. Lasjaunias, *A survey of Diophantine approximation in fields of power series*, Monatshefte für Mathematik **130** (2000), 211–229.

[L2] A. Lasjaunias, *Continued fractions for hyperquadratic power series over a finite field*, Finite Fields and their Applications **14** (2008), 329–350.

[L3] A. Lasjaunias, *On Robbin's example of a continued fraction for a quartic power series over* $\mathbb{F}_{13}$, Journal of Number Theory **128** (2008), 1109–1115.

[L4] A. Lasjaunias, *Algebraic continued fractions in* $\mathbb{F}_q((T^{-1}))$ *and recurrent sequences in* $\mathbb{F}_q$, Acta Arithmetica **133** (2008), 251–265.

[L5] A. Lasjaunias, *On the continued fraction of the unique root in* $\mathbb{F}(p)$ *of the equation* $x^4 + x^2 - Tx - 1/12 = 0$ *and other related hyperquadratic expansions*, Finite Fields and their Applications **18** (2012), 26–34

[LY] A. Lasjaunias and J.-Y. Yao, *Hyperquadratic continued fractions in odd characteristic with partial quotients of degree one*, to appear in Journal of Number Theory.

[M] K. Mahler, *On a theorem of Liouville in fields of positive characteristic*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics **1** (1949), 397–400.

[dM] B. de Mathan, *Approximation exponents for algebraic functions*, Acta Arithmetica **60** (1992), 359-370.

[O] C. Osgood, *Effective bounds on the "diophantine approximation" of algebraic functions over fields of arbitrary characteristic and applications to differential equations*, Indagationes Mathematicae **37** (1975), 105–119.

[MR] W. Mills and D. Robbins, *Continued fractions for certain algebraic power series*, Journal of Number Theory **23** (1986), 388–404.

[Sc] W. Schmidt, *On continued fractions and Diophantine approximation in power series fields*, Acta Arithmetica **95** (2000), 139–166.

[V] J.-F. Voloch, *Diophantine approximation in positive characteristic*, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica **19** (1988), 217–225.

Khalil AYADI
Département de Mathématiques
Faculté des Sciences de Sfax
Sfax 3018, Tunisie
E-mail: ayedikhalil@yahoo.fr

Alain LASJAUNIAS
Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux CNRS-UMR 5251
Université de Bordeaux
Talence 33405, France
E-mail: Alain.Lasjaunias@math.u-bordeaux1.fr